

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/055,883	01/28/2002	Yong-Kyu Jang	6192.0222.AA	2057
75	90 06/28/2004		EXAMINER	
McGuireWoods			CHUNG, DAVID Y	
Suite 1800	oulevard		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1750 Tysons Boulevard McLean, VA 22102-4215			2871	
			DATE MAILED: 06/28/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` `	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)		
Advisory Action	10/055,883	JANG, YONG-KYU		
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit		
	David Y. Chung	2871	1800	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears n the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence a	ddress	
THE REPLY FILED 08 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114	o avoid abandonment of this : (1) a timely filed amendme peal (with appeal fee); or (3)	application. A proper rent which places the apple	eply to a ication in	
PERIOD FOR	RREPLY [check either a) or l	o)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of to no event, however, will the statutory period for reply exponents of the control	this Advisory Action, or (2) the date pire later than SIX MONTHS from the WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTH. The date on which the petition unditiod of extension and the correspondence of the shortened statutory period. Office later than three months afte	he mailing date of the final rej HS OF THE FINAL REJECTION er 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the a ding amount of the fee. The a for reply originally set in the fi	ection. DN. See MPEP ppropriate extension appropriate extension nal Office action; or	
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appella 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37				
2.⊠ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entere	d because:			
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require fu	urther consideration and/or se	earch (see NOTE below	r);	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see No	ete below);			
(c) they are not deemed to place the applicationissues for appeal; and/or	on in better form for appeal b	by materially reducing or	simplifying the	
(d) 🔲 they present additional claims without can	celing a corresponding num	ber of finally rejected cla	aims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.				
3.☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following re	ejection(s):			
4.⊠ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>1,2,4-16 a</u> amendment canceling the non-allowable claim		if submitted in a separa	ite, timely filed	
5.⊠ The a)□ affidavit, b)□ exhibit, or c)⊠ request application in condition for allowance because:		n considered but does N	NOT place the	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	because it is not directed SC	DLELY to issues which w	ere newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmexplanation of how the new or amended claims			ed and an	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	ws:			
Claim(s) allowed: <u>10-14</u> .				
Claim(s) objected to: <u>3-14,17-23,25-29,31,32,34</u>	and 35.			
Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,15,16,24,30 and 33.				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
8. The drawing correction filed on is a)	approved or b) disapprov	ved by the Examiner.	· ()	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure State	ment(s)(PTO-1449) Paper I	Vo(s)	M)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

10. Other: ____

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The new limitations in claims 30 and 33, reciting that the pad is fully exposed by the first insulating layer raises new issues requiring further search and consideration. Further, claims 36-39 have been newly added and also require further search and consideration.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The claims as finally rejected were not allowable over the prior art.